

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/831,320	09/831,320 05/07/2001		Walter Gunter	GUNTER-2 (PCT)	2828
25889	7590	02/17/2005		EXAMINER	
	M COLLA D & ROE,		AHMAD, NASSER		
		BOULEVARD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ROSLYN	, NY 115	576	1772		
				DATE MAIL ED: 02/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		,
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/831,320	GUNTER, WALTER
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nasser Ahmad	1772
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 N 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. eepted or b) objected to by the	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct transfer of the second of	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicat Irity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-032) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D	

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Rejection Withdrawn

1. Claims 14, 18, 21 and 24 rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Shibano in view of the amendment submitted on November 29, 2004.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14, 18, 21 and 24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

New Ground(s) of Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pedginski (WO 95/33013).

Art Unit: 1772

Pedginski relates to a four layer composite material consisting of a first web, a second web, and in between the two webs are located a layer of adhesive and a layer of release material laminated together (abstract).

The process of making the product is not germane to the issue of the product itself and hence, has not been given patentable weight.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 14, 18, 21 and 24 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-35 of copending Application No. 10/275,029. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the instant claimed application and the copending application are directed to a composite consisting of a first and a second web having therebetween the co-extruded layers of

Art Unit: 1772

adhesive and the plastic layer with release material within it, and also to a method of making the product.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 14, 18 and 21 are allowed.

The prior art fails to teach the process of making the four layer composite material as recited in claim 14.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is 571-272-1487. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM, and on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nasser Ahmad
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1772

N. Ahmad. February 15, 2005.